

M&A Hotline

June 26, 2009

SEBI DENIES TAKEOVER EXEMPTION TO FUTURISTIC GARMENTS

Securities and Exchange Board of India (“SEBI”) has by its order dated June 16, 2009, rejected the application (“Exemption Application”) made by the promoters of Surya Pharmaceutical Ltd. (“Target”) seeking an exemption from complying with the mandatory open offer¹ requirement under Regulations 10, 11 and 12 of the SEBI (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations, 1997 (“Takeover Code”)².

BACKGROUND & FACTS

The promoters of the Target along with one of their group entities viz. Futuristic Garments Private Limited (“Acquirer”)³, were holding an aggregate of 35.15% equity stake in the Target. The Target had entered into an arrangement with Industrial Development Bank of India (“IDBI”) for IDBI to provide financial assistance by way of both fund based and non fund based loan to the tune of Rs. 380 million to the Target. As per the terms of the loan arrangement the promoters were required to maintain atleast 51% shareholding in the Target till the subsistence of the loan.

Accordingly, the Target sought to issue 4,700,000 zero coupon optionally convertible share warrants (“Convertible Warrants”) to the Acquirer. The Convertible Warrants were convertible into equity shares over a period of eighteen (18) months and upon conversion would consequently increase the equity stake of the promoters in the Target to 51.05%.⁴

The Exemption Application made to SEBI was based on the following grounds:

- The new issuance and resultant increase in the equity stake would not amount to change in control of management of the Target.
- The increase in shareholding is proposed pursuant to the condition imposed by IDBI for sanctioning the loan and the Target would be able to run its business more effectively by raising such additional capital.
- The conditions of the stock market are not congenial to make an open offer at ‘this time’ due to volatility.

Position under Takeover Code:

Prior to 2002, preferential allotment of equity shares by a company was exempted from the open offer provisions of the Takeover Code. However, subsequent to withdrawal of such exemption in September 2002, no acquirer who, together with persons acting in concert with him, is holding 15% or more but less than 55% of the shares or voting rights in a company, shall acquire additional shares or voting rights exceeding 5% of the voting rights in any financial year¹ unless such acquirer makes an open offer under the Takeover Code.¹

Recommendations / observation of the Takeover Panel⁵ on the Exemption Application

Based on the analysis of the Exemption Application, the SEBI Takeover Panel observed the following:

- The Target had in one of their emails addressed to SEBI stated that if an exemption is granted by SEBI for the preferential allotment, the Target shall be able to bring in about Rs. 340 million and therefore the financial assistance by IDBI may not be required immediately. Hence, the contention of the promoters to maintain the equity

Research Papers

New Age of Franchising

June 20, 2025

Life Sciences 2025

June 11, 2025

The Tour d'Horizon of Data Law Implications of Digital Twins

May 29, 2025

Research Articles

2025 Watchlist: Life Sciences Sector India

April 04, 2025

Re-Evaluating Press Note 3 Of 2020: Should India's Land Borders Still Define Foreign Investment Boundaries?

February 04, 2025

INDIA 2025: The Emerging Powerhouse for Private Equity and M&A Deals

January 15, 2025

Audio

CCI's Deal Value Test

February 22, 2025

Securities Market Regulator's Continued Quest Against “Unfiltered” Financial Advice

December 18, 2024

Digital Lending - Part 1 - What's New with NBFC P2Ps

November 19, 2024

NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

NDA Hotline

Click here to view Hotline archives.

Video

Yyapak Desai speaking on the danger of deepfakes | Legally Speaking with Tarun Nangia | NewsX

April 01, 2025

stake at 51% pursuant to the financing covenants of IDBI may not be relevant.

- Such exemption for preferential allotment may be granted in extraordinary events like company becoming sick or infusion of funds by the promoters pursuant to corporate debt restructuring scheme⁶. However, the current case may not warrant such an exemption.

Accordingly, granting of such exemption was not favoured by the Takeover Panel.

SUBMISSIONS BY THE ACQUIRER

The following submissions were made by the Target to SEBI subsequent to the recommendations of the Takeover Panel:⁷

1. The financial assistance from IDBI was still imperative for the Target and the language in the aforesaid email did not convey the message correctly. Further, IDBI has indicated continued interest in the status of the enhancement of promoter's stake for disbursement of financial assistance.
2. The Target was in dire need of financial assistance and hence SEBI should consider the Exemption Application favorably rather than waiting for the Target to become sick for want of funds and then to consider the Exemption application.

SEBI ORDER:

Subsequent to the recommendation by the Takeover Panel and the submission by the promoters, SEBI rejected the Exemption Application and directed the Acquirer to comply with the open offer requirement under Regulation 11(1) of the Takeover Code on the following grounds:

- The acquisition of shares through preferential allotment is not exempted automatically from the applicability of the Takeover Code. Such exemptions under the residual Regulation 3(1)(f) of the Takeover Code may be granted in few instances like the company becoming sick or infusion of funds by the promoters / acquirers under the corporate debt restructuring mechanism and the current case does not warrant such exemption.
- The contention that the condition of the stock market was not good for an open offer may not have much substance as the shareholders of the Target may want to dispose off their shareholding even in such a market.
- The submissions of the Acquirer and the grounds for seeking an exemption under the Takeover Code are not convincing enough. Further, considering that there is around 65% public shareholding in the Company, granting of an exemption would deny an exit option to such large base of public shareholders and it would be adverse to their interest.

CONCLUSION

Though there exists an enabling provision under the Takeover Code authorizing SEBI to grant exemptions from the provisions of these regulations, such powers are very judicially exercised by SEBI. The exemptions granted in the past under this provision have been sparse to include only exceptional situations like increase in the shareholding pursuant to buy back of shares or infusion of fresh capital pursuant to scheme for debt reorganization with the banks and financial institutions. An exemption from the open offer requirement by SEBI in the current case could have resulted in large number of promoters applying for similar exemptions from Takeover Code to hike their stake in the company without providing an exit opportunity to the public shareholders.

Team M&A

Sahil Shah / Vaidhyanadhan Iyer / Nishchal Joshipura

1 Regulation 21 - Open offer to acquire an additional 20% voting capital (equity shares) from the shareholders

2 The said application was made by the Target Company on behalf of the promoter under Regulation 3(1)(f) read with Regulation 4(2) of the Takeover Code

3 Existing shareholding of the Acquirer was 3.65%

4 This would result in the increase in equity stake of the promoters by 15.9%

5 Takeover Panel is a panel constituted by SEBI to make a recommendation on whether exemption from open offer requirement can be given to a particular case

6 Under this mechanism the lenders viz. banks and financial institutions and borrowers agree on a scheme of restructuring or repackaging their debts. The said restructuring may take various forms including extending the repayment period, reducing rate of interest, infusion of further funds by the promoters, conversion of interest due into capital, etc.

7 As a process, SEBI shall afford the applicant an opportunity of being heard before passing its order based on the facts of the case and recommendation by the Takeover Panel

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

