

Tax Hotline

August 23, 2008

BOMBAY HIGH COURT UNDERSCORES MORGAN STANLEY'S RULE OF SINGLE ENTITY ATTRIBUTION

The Revenue has time and again tried to challenge the rule laid down in Morgan Stanley. Earlier this year, the Revenue had filed a review petition against the said judgment, which was dismissed by the SC. The judgment of the HC only re-iterates and fortifies the rule that an arm's length relationship between a non-resident enterprise and its PE in India absolves the non-resident enterprise from any tax liability in India.

On Friday, August 22, 2008, a division bench of the Bombay High Court ("HC") comprising of Justice Rebello and Justice Mohite set aside the order of the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal ("Tribunal") in the case of SET Satellite

(Singapore) Pte. Ltd ("Appellant")¹.

In this case, the Appellant was a telecasting company, which was a tax resident in Singapore. The Appellant had appointed SET India Pvt. Ltd. as an agent ("Agent") for marketing airtime slots in India. The Agent constituted a dependent agent PE under Article 5(8) of the Double Tax Avoidance Agreement between India and Singapore ("Treaty"). According to the Appellant, it had remunerated the Agent at an arm's length price, and therefore nothing further would be attributable to India. In arriving at this position the Appellant had relied on Circular no. 23 of 1969 and Circular no. 5 of 2004 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes.

The Tribunal had earlier held, adopting the dual entity approach, that a foreign company with an Indian dependent agent permanent establishment ("PE") would continue to be liable to tax in India even after it remunerated the dependent agent at arm's length. The Tribunal had held that 'dependent agent' and 'dependent agent PE' were two different tax payers, and the remuneration of the former on an arm's length basis did not extinguish the liability of the latter.

The above decision of the Tribunal was in stark contrast to the single entity approach followed in India, which was subsequently confirmed by the Supreme Court of India ("Supreme Court") in the case of Morgan Stanley². In Morgan Stanley, which was pending for judgment at the time of the judgment of the Tribunal, the Supreme Court confirmed the single entity approach while ruling that once a transfer pricing analysis is undertaken, there is no further need to attribute profits to a PE.

The HC, relying on the precedent laid down in Morgan Stanley, has now set aside the order of the Tribunal. It has held that if the correct arm's length price is applied and paid, then nothing further would be left to be taxes in the hands of the foreign enterprise. This judgment re-iterates and fortifies the rule that an arm's length relationship between a non-resident enterprise and its Indian PE absolves the non-resident enterprise from any tax liability in India.

- Dhruv Sanghavi & Hariharan Gangadharan

1. [2007] 106 ITD 175

2. (2007) 7 SCC 1

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

Research Papers

Compendium of Research Papers

January 11, 2025

FAQs on Setting Up of Offices in India

December 13, 2024

FAQs on Downstream Investment

December 13, 2024

Research Articles

INDIA 2025: The Emerging Powerhouse for Private Equity and M&A Deals

January 15, 2025

Key changes to Model Concession Agreements in the Road Sector

January 03, 2025

The Revolution Realized: Bitcoin's Triumph

December 05, 2024

Audio

Securities Market Regulator's Continued Quest Against "Unfiltered" Financial Advice

December 18, 2024

Digital Lending - Part 1 - What's New with NBFC P2Ps

November 19, 2024

Renewable Roadmap: Budget 2024 and Beyond - Part I

August 26, 2024

NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

NDA Hotline

Click here to view Hotline archives.

Video

"Investment return is not enough" Nishith Desai with Nikunj Dalmia (ET Now) at FI18 event in Riyadh

October 31, 2024

Analysing SEBI's Consultation Paper

on Simplification of registration for
FPIs

September 26, 2024

Scope of judicial interference and
inquiry in an application for
appointment of arbitrator under the
(Indian) Arbitration and Conciliation
Act, 1996

September 22, 2024