

## Tax Hotline

July 31, 2007

### TAXATION OF OUTSOURCING ACTIVITY - MORGAN STANLEY RULING ANALYZED

Further to our hotline “[Supreme Court rules on permanent establishment in the outsourcing industry](#)” dated July 9, 2007, [please click here to read our analysis](#) (published in the Worldwide Tax Daily: 2007 WTD 142-5) of the judgment in the case of *DIT (International Taxation), Mumbai v. Morgan Stanley and Co. Inc.* In its ruling the Supreme Court held that the outsourcing of services such as back-office operations to a captive service provider will not *per se* create a permanent establishment (“PE”) of the parent in India. This was a key consideration, as in case outsourcing were to cause a PE of the non-resident company in India, the global profits of the non-resident company attributable to the PE may have been taxable in India at the rate of approximately 42.23% (approximately). Further, the availability of tax credit for such tax paid in the home jurisdiction may be uncertain, thus potentially leading to double taxation and wiping out the economic advantage of outsourcing to India. The Supreme Court has held that the presence of employees for stewardship functions will not constitute a service PE, whereas deputation of employees may create a service PE. Therefore foreign companies need to be careful in structuring inter-country assignments henceforth. It has also accepted the single-entity approach for the attribution of profits to a PE by ruling that the payment of an arm’s-length price by the nonresident to the PE extinguishes any further attribution of profits to tax.

Hope you find the analysis informative.

Best regards,

Taxteam

### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

## Research Papers

### Compendium of Research Papers

January 11, 2025

### FAQs on Setting Up of Offices in India

December 13, 2024

### FAQs on Downstream Investment

December 13, 2024

## Research Articles

### INDIA 2025: The Emerging Powerhouse for Private Equity and M&A Deals

January 15, 2025

### Key changes to Model Concession Agreements in the Road Sector

January 03, 2025

### The Revolution Realized: Bitcoin's Triumph

December 05, 2024

## Audio

### Securities Market Regulator's Continued Quest Against “Unfiltered” Financial Advice

December 18, 2024

### Digital Lending - Part 1 - What's New with NBFC P2Ps

November 19, 2024

### Renewable Roadmap: Budget 2024 and Beyond - Part I

August 26, 2024

## NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

## NDA Hotline

[Click here to view Hotline archives.](#)

## Video

### “Investment return is not enough” Nishith Desai with Nikunj Dalmia (ET Now) at FI18 event in Riyadh

October 31, 2024

### Analysing SEBI's Consultation Paper

on Simplification of registration for  
FPIs

September 26, 2024

Scope of judicial interference and  
inquiry in an application for  
appointment of arbitrator under the  
(Indian) Arbitration and Conciliation  
Act, 1996

September 22, 2024