

Funds Hotline

April 17, 2014

PERSONAL WEALTH PLANNING IMPORTANT FOR CARRIED INTEREST

In 2007, the chief executive officer of a large US private equity fund racked up a bill of \$3-5 million in throwing a lavish 60th birthday party. Some months later, two US senators introduced a Bill, also referred to as the 'Birthday Party' Bill, with respect to tax on carried interest ('carry') earned by fund managers.

Ever since, carried interest has become a thorny issue that fund managers in developed economies have been grappling with. (In simple terms, carried interest is a general partner's share in a fund's profits. This is paid over and above the management fee.)

Given that a substantial number of private equity investments in India were made during 2006-09, and which would be ripe for exits in the near future resulting in potential carried interest distributions to the fund managers, it is a suitable time to take a relook at carried interest structures set up earlier by fund managers focused on investing in India.

Carried interest is also a perfect candidate for estate planning since over a period of time, it usually forms a major part of net worth of fund managers.

Most of the fund managers have personal holding companies or offshore trust structures to receive carry from offshore funds. This was historically done with two perspectives in mind.

First, senior personnel were often required to balance the requirements of multiple jurisdictions on account of the nature of their occupation, and personal carry structures would double as succession structures for the fund manager.

For example, if the beneficiary happened to be a US citizen who was resident in India, it was often important to set up a grantor trust structure from a US perspective. Similarly, if the beneficiary was an Indian resident who was expected to relocate offshore, the units would sometimes be held in a personal holding company to enable utilization of funds offshore in a manner that was in compliance with the exchange control provisions.

STAR trusts in the Cayman Islands (or trusts offering similar features in other jurisdictions) have also been set up for individuals who did not have specified beneficiaries in mind but who wanted flexibility to use the funds for offshore reinvestments in future.

Second, there was a preference among funds to distribute the carry to offshore structures, not just because this allowed for more regulatory flexibility in structuring but also because of a perception that payment of carried interest into India could result in a permanent establishment risk for the fund in India.

What is important to keep in mind, however, is that the tax environment in India today is far more challenging than it was earlier. Information exchange has become a norm rather than an exception, and it is more important than ever before to consider the administrative impact of disclosure requirements on offshore structures, not to mention the ability of the structure to demonstrate substance or comply with changing laws. For example, a new law is proposed to be introduced where an Indian resident shareholder could be taxed on income received by an offshore personal holding company, irrespective of whether dividends are distributed by such offshore entity.

Such 'controlled foreign corporation' provisions, however, do not currently apply to trusts, which means that carry structures using trusts may be in a better position to those relying upon personal holding companies.

Having the carry housed in a trust would also allow the beneficiary to provide a better business case for retaining the funds offshore, including that the funds will be professionally managed and used according to the individual's wishes in case of an untimely demise. For example, if the individual has minor children and wishes them to receive distributions from the carry, a trust could accomplish this without transferring the carry entirely into the guardian's hands.

Other justifications could be the future relocation of one or more beneficiaries requiring a use of funds offshore, ease of reinvestment of funds without regulatory restrictions, availability of high quality professional management for the trust, or ability to co-invest in other global funds sponsored by the same group. These may not have been factored in when carry structures were historically set up, but they are likely to be very important when distributions are made, particularly with the general anti-avoidance rule proposed to come into effect from financial year 2015 and exchange of information among countries becoming more regular.

Further, relooking at the existing structures becomes important since India abolished estate taxes in 1985 but there is no guarantee that the same will not be reintroduced.

The short point is that the world has changed since carry structures were historically set up. It is more important than ever before to re-evaluate whether there is adequate substance in the structure and robust legal documentation in

Research Papers

Clinical Trials and Biomedical Research in India

April 22, 2025

Structuring Platform Investments in India For Foreign Investors

March 31, 2025

India's Oil & Gas Sector— at a Glance

March 27, 2025

Research Articles

2025 Watchlist: Life Sciences Sector India

April 04, 2025

Re-Evaluating Press Note 3 Of 2020: Should India's Land Borders Still Define Foreign Investment Boundaries?

February 04, 2025

INDIA 2025: The Emerging Powerhouse for Private Equity and M&A Deals

January 15, 2025

Audio

CCI's Deal Value Test

February 22, 2025

Securities Market Regulator's Continued Quest Against "Unfiltered" Financial Advice

December 18, 2024

Digital Lending - Part 1 - What's New with NBFC P2Ps

November 19, 2024

NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

NDA Hotline

[Click here to view Hotline archives.](#)

Video

Vyapak Desai speaking on the danger of deepfakes | Legally Speaking with Tarun Nangia |

place to articulate the commercial justifications, to enable the structure to survive scrutiny.

Whether the structure will tick the relevant boxes at the point when distributions are made, is likely to be the real “million dollar” question.

This article was published in Livemint dated April 10, 2014. The same can be accessed from the [link](#).

— **Shreya Rao & Nishchal Joshipura**

You can direct your queries or comments to the authors

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

NewsX

April 01, 2025

Vaibhav Parikh, Partner, Nishith Desai Associate on Tech, M&A, and Ease of Doing Business

March 19, 2025

SIAC 2025 Rules: Key changes & Implications

February 18, 2025