

# Technology Law Analysis

June 19, 2018

## CAN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE BE GIVEN LEGAL RIGHTS AND DUTIES?

This article was originally published in the 17<sup>th</sup> June 2018 edition of

**Business Standard**

No law currently in force recognises Artificial Intelligence as a legal person

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has ceased to be that fantastic big idea of the future. AI is now more science and less fiction, with computers and robots replacing humans.

AI, simply put, is the capability of a machine to imitate intelligent human behaviour. With the advent of new technologies, the permeation of AI in our day-to-day lives has become more pronounced.

However, a question that has still not been answered is: How do we address the possibility of an AI causing harm or damage in some form to human society? The more pertinent question is who do we hold responsible for such harm. To comprehend our inability to answer this question, one needs to understand the fallibility of our legal system in being outdated and incapable of dealing with AI.

### LEGAL PERSONALITY OF AI

Legal personhood is inherently linked to individual autonomy but has not been granted exclusively to humans. No law currently in force recognises AI as a legal person. However, with Sophia, a humanoid being granted citizenship by Saudi Arabia, coupled with the recent accident caused by Uber's self-driving car, it has become imperative to address the legal personhood of AI.

The question of whether legal personhood can be conferred on an AI boils down to whether it can be made the subject of legal rights and duties. The legal fiction created for corporates serves as a precedent for granting legal personhood to AI. However, there exists a distinction between corporates and AI. Corporates are fictitiously independent, yet accountable via their stakeholders, while an AI may be actually independent.

A possible middle ground may be granting AI a bundle of rights selected from those currently ascribed to legal persons. However, concrete steps in this regard are yet to be seen.

Another issue that arises is attributing liability to an AI. The general rule has been that since an AI cannot qualify as a legal person, it cannot be held liable in its own capacity. The biggest roadblock to reconsider this rule is the conundrum as to how to penalise an AI for its wrongdoing, which has not been dealt with as of today.

### CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIPS

Another concern is the ability of an AI to execute and be bound by contracts. While international laws have recognised self-enforcing contracts, there is a need for a comprehensive legislation on the subject.

Under Indian law only a "legal person" can be competent to enter a valid contract. The general rule thus far has been that an AI may not qualify as a legal person. Hence, a contract entered into by an AI of its own volition may not be regarded as a valid contract in India.

Resultantly, steps need to be taken to ensure that technology standards are developed to adequately regulate contracts entered into by AI.

### EMPLOYMENT AND AI

The driver behind the development of AI is the demand and need for automation. With the objective of increasing efficiency, companies across the world have prescribed to the practice of utilising AI as a replacement of the human workforce.

This wave of automation is creating a gap between the existing employment laws and the growing use of AI in the workplace.

For instance, can an AI claim benefits such as provident fund payments or gratuity under existing employment legislation or sue a company for wrongful termination of employment? Such questions also hold relevance for the human workforce, as in most instances, AI requires individuals to function and the failure of employment laws to have clarity with regard to the above may adversely impact such individuals, as well.

The penetration of self-driven cars, robots and fully-automated machines is only expected to surge with the passage

## Research Papers

### Fintech

May 05, 2025

### Medical Device Industry in India

April 28, 2025

### Clinical Trials and Biomedical Research in India

April 22, 2025

## Research Articles

### 2025 Watchlist: Life Sciences Sector India

April 04, 2025

### Re-Evaluating Press Note 3 Of 2020: Should India's Land Borders Still Define Foreign Investment Boundaries?

February 04, 2025

### INDIA 2025: The Emerging Powerhouse for Private Equity and M&A Deals

January 15, 2025

## Audio

### CCI's Deal Value Test

February 22, 2025

### Securities Market Regulator's Continued Quest Against "Unfiltered" Financial Advice

December 18, 2024

### Digital Lending - Part 1 - What's New with NBFC P2Ps

November 19, 2024

## NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

## NDA Hotline

Click here to view Hotline archives.

## Video

### Vyapak Desai speaking on the danger of deepfakes | Legally Speaking with Tarun Nangia | NewsX

April 01, 2025

of time. As a result, the dependency of society as a whole on AI systems is also expected to increase.

To safeguard the integration of AI, a balanced approach would need to be adopted which efficiently regulates the functioning of AI systems but also maximises its benefits.

– **Huzefa Tavawalla & Abhishek Senthilnathan**  
You can direct your queries or comments to the authors

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

**Vaibhav Parikh, Partner, Nishith Desai Associate on Tech, M&A, and Ease of Doing Business**  
March 19, 2025

**SIAC 2025 Rules: Key changes & Implications**  
February 18, 2025